Case 5:08-cv-05298-RMW Document 10 Filed 03/18/09 Page 2 of 2

Fox Film Corp. v. Dunnahoo, 637 F.2d 1338, 1341 (9th Cir. 1981).

In the present motion, petitioner does not indicate what provision of Rule 60(b) applies to his case. Petitioner does not make a showing of mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect. He does not set forth any newly discovered evidence, fraud, or any grounds for finding that the judgment is void or has been satisfied. Nor does he set forth any other reason justifying relief. Petitioner's arguments are merely a restatement of issues the court considered and rejected. Petitioner may raise such arguments on appeal, but they are not grounds for reconsideration. See id.

Accordingly, the motion for reconsideration is DENIED (docket no. 9).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: __3/16/09____

Konald M. Whyte RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge